

SECRET / DEDIP

SECRET DIP BURNING BUSH 1 FCO TO IMMEDIATE WASHINGTON TELNO 460 OF D71815Z MARCH 91 INFO IMMEDIATE PARIS, BONN, UKDEL NATO, MOSCOW

MY 4 IPTS: QUADRIPARTITE MEETING OF POLITICAL DIRECTORS, BONN, 6 MARCH: SECURITY IN CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPE

SIDE ETS A

1. UK CIRCULATES PROMISED PAPER ON SECURITY IN CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPE. GENERAL A AGREEMENT THAT MEMBERSHIP OF NATO AND SECURITY GUARANTEES UNACCEPTABLE. AGREEMENT TO CONSIDER STATEMENT BY SPRING NAC INDICATING NATO'S INTEREST IN SECURITY AND STABILITY IN EASTERN EUROPE. THICKENING OF BILATERAL RELATIONS AND NATO/EAST EUROPEAN CONTACTS.

2. CHROBOG (GERMANY) SAID WE NEEDED NEW IDEAS ON HOW TO PROVIDE FOR THE SECURITY OF CENTRAL AND EAST EUROPEAN COUNTRIES. WE HAD CLEAR DURING THE 2+4 NEGOTIATIONS THAT WE WOULD NOT EXTEND NATO BEYOND THE ELBE (SIC). WE COULD NOT THEREFORE OFFER MEMBERSHIP OF NATO TO POLAND AND THE OTHERS. WE MIGHT HOWEVER CONSIDER REFERRING TO OUR INTEREST IN THESE COUNTRIES IN FUTURE FURTHER STEPS COULD BE TAKEN IN THE CSCE AND THROUGH BILATERAL AGREEMENTS.

WESTON CIRCULATED A BRITISH PAPER ON THE SUBJECT AND RAN THROUGH IT. WE HAD TO RESPOND TO THE REQUESTS FROM THE CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES FOR CLOSER RELATIONS WITH NATO. THEY WERE CONCERNED ABOUT THE EVENTUAL DANGER OF A REVANCHIST SOVIET UNION OR RUSSIA, INSTABILITY IN THE SOVIET UNION WITH POSSIBLE SPILL-OVER AND THE RISK OF CONFLICTS BETWEEN EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES. OUR AIM SHOULD BE FOR THE EAST EUROPEANS TO BECOME PROSPEROUS, DEMOCRATIC AND WESTERN ORIENTATED STATES, WITH THEIR OWN MILITARY FORCES STRONG ENOUGH TO DETER INTIMIDATION BUT NOT TO PRESENT A THREAT TO THEIR NEIGBOURS. MANY OF THE THINGS WE COULD DO FOR THEM WOULD BE IN THE ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL FIELD, AND WERE NOT IN THE GIFT OF NATO OR THE WEU. BUT THE POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC APPROACH WOULD NOT BE ENOUGH BY ITSELF. THERE SEEMED A PSYCHOLOGICAL NEED IN EASTERN EUROPE TO EXAMINE THE MILITARY SECURITY DIMENSION. IT WOULD BE IMPORTANT IN OUR RESPONSE NOT TO OSTRACISE THE RUSSIANS. WE COULD NOT OFFER EAST EUROPEANS MEMBERSHIP OR ASSOCIATE MEMBERSHIP OF NATO. WE OFFER EXPLICIT OR IMPLICIT SECURITY GUARANTEES. THEY COULD NOR COULD NOT HAVE A RELATIONSHIP WITH NATO AS A GROUP. NOR WOULD NATO HAVE A PEACEKEEPING ROLE IN THE REGION. WE SHOULD GIVE FURTHER THOUGHT TO A NUMBER OF OTHER POSSIBILITIES INCLUDING:

A) NEGATIVE SECURITY GUARANTEES AS PROPOSED BY POLAND OR HUNGARY: OUR INITIAL VIEW WAS THAT THESE WERE NOT WORTH

B) A NATO DECLARATION OF INTEREST IN THE COUNTRIES OF CENTRAL

C) MISCELLANEOUS CONTACTS BETWEEN NATO AND THESE COUNTRIES COVERING DEFENCE AND SECURITY ISSUES AS WELL BILATERAL CONTACTS BETWEEN WESTERN COUNTRIES AND INDIVIDUAL CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES (HE LISTED THE UK PAPER'S

EXAMPLES).

OUR INITIAL VIEW WAS THAT THE BEST WAY FORWARD WOULD BE TO CONSIDER REFERRING TO OUR INTEREST IN THESE COUNTRIES IN THE DECLARATION FROM THE SPRING MINISTERIAL NAC: A THICKENING OF CONTACTS WITH EASTERN EUROPE: BILATERAL AND MULTILATERAL DEFENCE 4. SEITZ AGREED. A SERIES OF VISITS BY EASTERN EUROPEAN LEADERS

SECRET / Sean

WHEN MARKING IID DOC

TO WASHINGTON WERE PLANNED, BEGINNING WITH WALESA. THE POLES AND OTHERS WERE SEEKING BILATERAL DECLARATIONS. THE US WOULD HAVE TO ADDRESS SECURITY IN THIS CONTEXT. WE HAD MADE IT CLEAR TO THE SOVIET UNION, BOTH IN THE 2+4 AND IN OTHER EXCHANGES, THAT WE WOULD NOT TAKE ADVANTAGE OF SOVIET WITHDRAWAL FROM EASTERN EUROPE. WE MUST NOT PROVIDE A PRETEXT FOR HARDLINERS IN THE SOVIET UNION TO BUILD UP ANTI-WESTERN FEELING. NATO SHOULD NOT BE EXTENDED FORMALLY OR INFORMALLY TO THE EAST. THE PRIMARY EASTERN EUROPEAN OBJECTIVE AND FORM OF REASSURANCE WAS THE REMOVAL OF SOVIET TROOPS. WE SHOULD THICKEN UP BILATERAL RELATIONS WITH INDIVIDUAL EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES AS WELL AS NATO'S RELATIONS WITH THEM. WE MIGHT ALSO CONSIDER SEMINARS: EXCHANGES ON CIVIL EMERGENCY PLANNING: ROUND TABLE EXPERT MEETINGS: NATO TRAINING COURSES FOR EAST EUROPEAN OFFICERS IN CIVIL OVERSIGHT OF DEFENCE FORCES: INVITATIONS TO ATTEND THE NATO DEFENCE COLLEGE: ESTABLISHMENT OF ATLANTIC COUNCILS IN EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES AND THE SOVIET UNION ETC. THERE WAS SCOPE FOR INDIVIDUAL GOVERNMENTS TO UNDERTAKE TRAINING AND EXCHANGE OF OFFICERS, POSSIBLY ACADEMY TO ACADEMY, DISCUSSION OF STRATEGY AND POSSIBLY EVEN IN THE LONGER RUN SOME SORT OF ARMS SALES

5. CHROBOG SAID WE SHOULD ALSO CONSIDER BILATERAL TREATIES
CONTAINING NON-AGGRESSION ELEMENTS. SUCH TREATIES SHOULD ALSO BE
LOOK AT THE PROPOSALS IN THE BRITISH PAPER. FRANCE WAS NOT KEEN
ON LINKS BETWEEN INDIVIDUAL EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES AND THE
ALLIANCE AS SUCH. BUT SHE HAD NO MAJOR OBJECTION TO THE
PROPOSALS SHE HAD HEARD. IT WAS AGREED THE DEBATE IN NATO SHOULD
HURD

NNNN MAIN NO DISTRIBUTION HEADS OF: PLANNERS SOVIET DEPT SEC POL DEPT EED WED NAD PS PS/MR HOGG PS/PUS MR WESTON MR BROOMFIELD MR TAIT MR GREENSTOCK MR GOULDEN MR BEAMISH PS/NO 10 MR APPLEYARD, CABINET OFFICE