

Current Concerns

The international journal for independent thought, ethical standards, moral responsibility, and for the promotion and respect of public international law, human rights and humanitarian law

English Edition of Zeit-Fragen

72 kg of Pathogenic Viruses Dispatched Unlabeled

Biology lesson: Human flu virus H3N2 + lethal H5N1 = swine flu vaccine?

CC. In today's health care, the patient is to be treated as a responsible citizen. Prior to any medical surgery, he must be informed precisely and fully about all risks of the forthcoming surgery and he must give his consent, freely and indepen-

dently. The duty of information and consent must also be applied in case of vaccinations. Therefore, mass vaccinations and forced vaccinations are per se a problem for democracy. In today's world, so much trust has been ruined in innumer-

able areas that this need not happen in the public health sector, too. A thorough clarification about the risks of a pandemic is the order of the day. Barbara Minton and Jane Burgermeister have started off this process.

Jane Burgermeister Files Charges against WHO and UN for Bioterrorism and Intent to Commit Mass Murder

by Barbara Minton, Natural News, 25 June 2009

As the anticipated July release date for Baxter's A/H1N1 flu pandemic vaccine approaches, an Austrian investigative journalist is warning the world that the greatest crime in the history of humanity is underway. Jane Burgermeister has recently filed criminal charges with the FBI against the World Health Organization (WHO), the United Nations (UN), and several of the highest ranking government and corporate officials concerning bioterrorism and attempts to commit mass murder. She has also prepared an injunction against forced vaccination which is being filed in America. These actions follow her charges filed in April against Baxter AG and Avir Green Hills Biotechnology of Austria for producing contaminated bird flu vaccine, alleging this was a deliberate act to cause and profit from a pandemic.

Summary of claims and allegations filed with FBI in Austria on June 10, 2009

In her charges, Burgermeister presents evidence of acts of bioterrorism that is in violation of US law by a group operating within the US under the direction of international bankers who control the Federal Reserve, as well as WHO, UN and NATO. This bioterrorism is for the purpose of carrying out a mass genocide against the US population by use of a genetically engineered flu pandemic virus with the intent of causing death. This group has annexed high government offices in the US

Specifically, evidence is presented that the defendants, Barack Obama, President of the US, David Nabarro, UN System Coordinator for Influenza, Margaret Chan, Director-General of WHO, Kathleen Sebelius, Secretary of Department of Health and Human Services, Janet Napolitano, Secretary of Department

of Homeland Security, David de Rothschild, banker, David Rockefeller, banker, George Soros, banker, Werner Faymann, Chancellor of Austria, and Alois Stoger, Austrian Health Minister, among others, are part of this international corporate criminal syndicate which has developed, produced, stockpiled and employed biological weapons to eliminate the population of the US and other countries for financial and political gain.

The charges contend that these defendants conspired with each other and others to devise, fund and participate in the final phase of the implementation of a covert international bioweapons program involving the pharmaceutical companies Baxter and Novartis. They did this by bioengineering and then releasing lethal biological agents, specifically the «bird flu» virus and the «swine flu virus» in order to have a pretext to implement a forced mass vaccination program

"Swine flu" never detected in animals

Statement of the OIE (World Organization for Animal Health)

Paris, 27 April 2009 – A virus circulating in Mexico and the USA and involving person to person transmission appears to cause in some cases severe disease in certain people infected by this virus. There is no evidence that this virus is transmitted by food.

It is not a classical human influenza virus called seasonal influenza, which causes every year millions of human cases of influenza worldwide but a virus which includes in its characteristics swine, avian and human virus components.

No current information in influenza like animal disease in Mexico or the USA could support a link between human cases and possible animal cases including swine. The virus has not been isolated in animals to date. Therefore, it is not justified to name this disease swine influenza. In the past, many human influenza epidemics with animal origin have been named using geographic name, e.g. Spanish influenza or Asiatic influenza, thus it would be logical to call this disease "North-American influenza".

Source: www.oie.int/eng/press/en090427.htm

which would be the means of administering a toxic biological agent to cause death and injury to the people of the US This action is in direct violation of the Biological Weapons Anti-terrorism Act.

Burgermeister's charges include evidence that Baxter AG, Austrian subsidiary of Baxter International, deliberately sent out 72 kilos of live bird flu virus, supplied by the WHO in the winter of 2009 to 16 laboratories in four counties. She claims this evidence offers clear proof that the pharmaceutical companies and international government agencies themselves are actively engaged in producing, developing, manufacturing and distributing biological agents classified as the most deadly bioweapons on earth in order to trigger a pandemic and cause mass death.

In her April charges, she noted that Baxter's lab in Austria, one of the supposedly most secure biosecurity labs in the world, did not adhere to the most basic and essential steps to keep 72 kilos of a pathogen classified as a bioweapon secure and separate from all other substances under stringent biosecurity level regulations, but it allowed it to be mixed with the ordinary human flu virus and sent from its facilities in Orth on the Danube.

In February, when a staff member at Bio-Test in the Czech Republic tested the material meant for candidate vaccines on ferrets, the ferrets died. This incident was not followed up by any investigation from the WHO, EU, or Austrian health authorities. There was no investigation of the content of the virus material, and there is no data on the genetic sequence of the virus released.

What is to be done, if we can no longer trust our "elites"?

by Karl Mueller, Germany

Hardly any text published in recent years is probably of such great importance for a fundamental social and ethical discussion and orientation like the encyclical *Caritas in veritate*, presented to the public at the beginning of July. It offers fundamental orientation, which is worth reflecting in all areas of life and all disciplines.

One of the core statements of the encyclical is the thesis that human development is obstructed by a lack of "love in truth", a lack of substantiated morality.

And indeed: Many of today's evils have something to do with a lack of a consistent ethical inspiration of people's feeling, thinking and acting. However, this is probably not so much due to the fact that ethic foundations are missing, but rather due to the fact that these ethical foundations are not really considered – which raises some questions, as for example:

- Why are these foundations ignored?
- Why is the call to respect these foundations so ineffective?
- What is needed for us to respect these foundations not only in their written form but also in a way that can determine our lives?

Answering these three questions alone is a great task for the human race. However, this task must urgently be addressed. Mankind is increasingly confronted with the fact that convictions and actions which must be called criminal are to be found not only in the crime scene where they are prosecuted by the state or else they are an exception; instead we are today confronted with the fact that very influential parts of our "elites" in politics, economics and the financial world, in military, the media and cultural area have become "a band of thieves", as the Early Father Augustine expressed it, which trample on justice without being prosecuted by the state.

Particularly worrying is the fact that the numerous public lies of our "elites" increasingly destroy confidence and call into question the classic legal maxim of acting "in good faith", which is a fundament for our coexistence and cooperation and ensure that people act decently and fairly.

The concept of "trust, but verify" up to "moral terror" is no perspective and also no convincing alternative to the once destroyed confidence. A turn to the better certainly requires an internal orientation towards humane ethics.

How much confidence has already been destroyed can be shown by a few contemporary examples:

- Numerous investigations rightly point to the fact that the devastating effects of the worldwide financial crisis are to some degree the result of a confidence crisis. The respective actions are not overt and therefore many lost their credibility.

What is true for the financial world is also true for other fields: Other "elites" also lost their "credibility" – however, they have not yet drawn the necessary conclusions. Germany, for example, is witnessing a Bundestag election campaign, which conveys the impression that the parties' leading politicians have not yet noticed their loss of credibility and/or continue to stick to power nevertheless. It is not an isolated event, as *Spiegel Online* reports on 12 August of an election campaign scene of the SPD's leading candidate Steinmeier: "He sat down with his comrades, with the local intellectuals, the journalists. With ordinary people, however, he did not speak a word."

Pharmaceutical company Baxter sends out contaminated vaccination material

European Medicines Agency (EMA) is investigating the Austrian branch of the American pharmaceutical company Baxter. It is after pharmacists from the Austrian Orth who sent vaccination preventing flu to the Czech Republic for testing and this vaccination was found to be infected with a deadly virus of the bird flu (H5N1). (...) Czech research company Bio-Test (in Konarovice town), testing this critical vaccination on lab ferrets, was forced to end the testing process after a week and to kill the infected animals. (...) Bio-Test was supposed to test anti-flu vaccination that should serve Europeans during the next flu season. The researchers admit that a situation like this never happened in the Czech Rep before. (...) Vaccine material contaminated with the bird flu was tested not only in Austria, but also in the Czech Republic, Germany and Slovenia. Everywhere, the same problem was observed, said Michael Vit (chief hygienist and advisor to the Ministry of Health; ed.)

Source: www.rense.com/general85/bax.htm

"Jame Burgermeister Files ..."

continued from page 1

In answer to parliamentary questions on 20 May, the Austrian Health Minister, *Alois Stoger*, revealed that the incident had been handled not as a biosecurity lapse, as it should have been, but as an offence against the veterinary code. A veterinary doctor was sent to the lab for a brief inspection.

Burgermeister's dossier reveals that the release of the virus was to be an essential step for triggering a pandemic that would allow the WHO to declare a Level 6 Pandemic. She lists the laws and decrees that would allow the UN and WHO to take over the United States in the event of pandemic. In addition, legislation requiring compliance with mandatory vaccinations would be put into force in the US under conditions of pandemic declaration.

She charges that the entire "swine flu" pandemic business is premised on a massive lie that there is no natural virus out there that poses a threat to the population. She presents evidence leading to the belief that the bird flu and swine flu viruses have, in fact, been bioengineered in laboratories using funding supplied by the WHO and other government agencies, among others. This "swine flu" is a hybrid of part swine flu, part human flu and part bird flu, something that can only come from laboratories according to many experts.

WHO's claim that this "swine flu" is spreading and a pandemic must be declared ignores the fundamental causes. The viruses that were released were created and released with the help of WHO, and WHO is overwhelmingly responsible for the pandemic in the first place. In addition, the symptoms of the supposed "swine flu" are indistinguishable from regular flu or from the common cold. The "swine flu" does not cause death anymore often than the regular flu causes death.

Burgermeister notes that the figures for deaths reported for the "swine flu" are incon-



(picture zvg)

It does not stem from us...

sistent and there is no clarity as to how the number of "deaths" has been documented.

There is no pandemic potential unless mass vaccinations are carried out to weaponize the flu under the guise of protecting the population. There are reasonable grounds for believing that the mandatory vaccines will be purposely contaminated with diseases that are specifically designed to cause death.

Reference is made to a licensed *Novartis* bird flu vaccine that killed 21 homeless people in Poland in the summer of 2008 and had as its "primary outcome measure" an "adverse events rate", thereby meeting the US government's own definition of a bioweapon (a biological agent designed to cause an adverse events rate, i.e. death or injury) with a delivery system (injection).

She alleges that the same complex of international pharmaceutical companies and international government agencies that have developed and released pandemic material have positioned themselves to profit from triggering the pandemic with contracts to supply vaccines. Media controlled by the group that is engineering the "swine flu" agenda is spreading misinformation to lull the people of the US into taking the dangerous vaccine.

The people of the US will suffer substantial and irreparable harm and injury if they are forced to take this unproven vaccine without their consent in accordance with the *Model State Emergency Health Powers Act*, *National Emergency Act*, *National Security Presidential Directive/NSPD 51*, *Homeland Security Presidential Directive/HSPD-20*, and the *International Partnership on Avian and Pandemic Influenza*.

In the US since 2008, Burgermeister charges that those named in her allegations have implemented new and/or accelerated the implementation of laws and regulations designed to strip the citizens of the US of their lawful constitutional rights to refuse an injection.

These people have created or allowed provisions to remain in place that make it a criminal act to refuse to take an injection against pandemic viruses. They have imposed other excessive and cruel penalties such as imprisonment and/or quarantine in FEMA camps while barring the citizens of the US from claiming compensation from injury or death from the forced injections. This is in violation of the laws governing federal corruption and the abuse of office as well as of the Constitution and Bill of Rights. Through these actions, the named defendants have laid the groundwork for mass genocide.

Using the "swine flu" as a pretext, the defendants have preplanned the mass murder of the US population by means of forced vaccination. They have installed an extensive network of FEMA concentration camps and identified mass grave sites, and they have been involved in devising and implementing a scheme to hand power over the US to an international crime syndicate that uses the UN and WHO as a front for illegal racketeering influenced organized crime activities, in violation of the laws that govern treason.

She further charges that the complex of pharmaceutical companies consisting of *Baxter*, *Novartis* and *Sanofi Aventis* are part of a foreign-based dual purpose bioweapons program, financed by this international criminal syndicate and designed to implement mass murder to reduce the world's population by

continued on page 3

Profits and scare tactics

The term "swine flu" as well as the term "avian flu" sums up misleading but obviously successful attempts of some pharmaceutical companies to increase their sales opportunities for new vaccinations and doubtful flu medicines. However, some politicians, specialist institutes and the media profit from this fabrication and palter with the people's fears for their own benefit. The current debate on financing the flu vaccination and the public call for tax money by a couple of health insurances go along the same lines.

The pharmaceutical industry shows that it has already known how public attention can be drawn to specific issues. Now it is demonstrating that a "swine flu from Mexico" is perceived notably more than the ordinary annual "flu epidemic". For weeks, managers and medical doctors, motivated by the pharmaceutical in-

dustry, have been presenting their "you-never-know" pushed with genetic and molecular biological details to the anxiously wondering people and to the federal and cantonal governments. Pressure for action is generated. Institutes obtain more personnel and tangible means, politicians are in demand, and members of crisis management teams are going on exclusive business trips. This provides material for exciting reports, interviews and comments in the media.

Source: Wodarg Blog, 4 August 2009

Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg is an internist and specialist in lungs, hygiene and environmental medicine. The SPD Member of Parliament for Flensburg-Schleswig is chairman of the health committee in the German Bundestag and the European Council.

Translation Current Concerns

"What is to be done ..."

continued from page 1

You should also read the first 100 pages of the latest book by *Udo Ulfkotte*: "Vorsicht Bürgerkrieg: Was lange gärt wird endlich Wut" (Caution: civil war: growing unrest among may finally become rage) in order to get a small insight in how far parts of Germany's political class have become estranged from morality and decency. This process will have effects far beyond the small private sector and will be devastating for the common weal.

Or you should read the new book by *Thomas Darnstädt*: "Der globale Polizeistaat. Terrorangst. Sicherheitswahn und das Ende unserer Freiheiten" (The global police state. Fear of terror. Security madness and the end of our liberties) and think about what the reigning band of thieves have thought up in order to prevent their own abdication and their being held accountable.

Or you should recall the admonishing words of the long-time CDU Member of Parliament *Willy Wimmer* who is now withdrawing from office and who could not at all give a good testimony to his parliament colleagues.

- The "Neue Zürcher Zeitung" of 8 August reports that US President Obama's advisor on terrorism defense recommended to use the term "worldwide war on terror" no longer. The policy of Obama predecessor Bush had led to the US being accused of holding other peoples in poverty and deprivation of rights. The important thing, he said, was now to undertake political, economic and social efforts, so that those societies, from which terrorists are still emanating, would no longer lack security, education, work and income, self-esteem and dignity in the future.

However, how plausible can such a statement be, if another thousands of US soldiers are sent to the war in Afghanistan at the same time? Or if the war is extended

to Pakistan, in order to protract a barbarian war which can only be called senseless and which causes more suffering and victims in both countries? Or if not a single dictatorial emergency law is repealed in the USA?

- The charges of the expert journalist *Jane Burgermeister* (see the articles in this issue) show that in the health care sector we are facing a complete breakdown of law and a fundamental attack on human rights to life and good health. In addition, we face a breakdown of trust to a global extent: Under the pretext to protect health, the health of the people is consciously and intentionally damaged.

Nevertheless: It is a good sign that all this and even more is brought forward to the public, that evermore people are unwilling to keep their silence about such occurrences but voice their troubled conscience publicly. Moreover, it is an even clearer sign of a changing world that an institution of worldwide significance as the Roman-Catholic church and the Pope

submit the initially mentioned encyclic *Cari-tas in veritate*. The dominant bands of thieves have gone beyond the pale. There is much to be said about their having reached the dead end. Human nature cannot be corrupted in the long term. To put it differently, let me quote a famous sentence: "You can fool some people sometimes, but you can't fool all the people all the time".

How can we be successful in preventing that the path towards a better world will not claim more victims besides the numerous victims that this dead end road has already claimed? Finding answers to this question is another task for mankind. Every one of us can do a first step. More than 200 years ago *Immanuel Kant* said "Dare to know"! With our knowledge today, we must add: Dare to stand by your dignity as a fellow citizen and by your conscience. Study, how the peoples of the world in their pursuit of freedom, equality and solidarity, of self-determination and sovereignty have conceived of feasible ways and continue to do so.

"Jane Burgermeister Files ..."

continued from page 2

more than 5 billion people in the next ten years. Their plan is to spread terror to justify forcing people to give up their rights, and to force mass quarantine in FEMA camps. The houses, companies and farms and lands of those who are killed will be up for grabs by this syndicate.

By eliminating the population of North America, the international elite gain access to the region's natural resources such as water and undeveloped oil lands. And by eliminating the US and its democratic constitution by subsuming it under a North American Union, the international crime group will have total control over North America.

Highlights from the complete dossier

- The complete dossier of the 10th June action is a 69 page document presenting evidence to substantiate all charges. This includes:
- Factual background that delineates time lines and facts that establish probable cause, UN and WHO definitions and roles, and history and incidents from the April, 2009 "swine flu" outbreak.
- Evidence the "swine flu" vaccines are defined as bioweapons as delineates in government agencies and regulations classifying and restricting vaccines, and the fear of foreign countries that "swine flu" vaccines will be used for biological warfare.
- Scientific evidence the "swine flu" virus is an artificial (genetic) virus.
- Scientific evidence the "swine flu" was bioengineered to resemble the Spanish flu virus of 1918 including quotes from *Swine Flu 2009 is Weaponized 1918 Spanish Flu* by A. True Ott, Ph.D., N.D., and a *Science Magazine* report from Dr Jeffrey Taubenberg et.al.
- The genome sequence of the „swine flu“
- Evidence of the deliberate release of the "swine flu" in Mexico
- Evidence as to the involvement of President Obama that delineates his trip to Mexico which coincided with the recent "swine flu" outbreak and the death of several officials involved in his trip. Contention is made that the President was never tested for "swine flu" because he had been previously vaccinated.
- Evidence as to the role of Baxter and WHO in producing and releasing pandemic virus material in Austria includes a statement from a Baxter official stating the accidentally distributed H5N1 in the Czech Republic was received from a WHO reference center. This includes delineation of evidence and allegations from Burgermeister's charges filed in April in Austria that are currently under investigation.
- Evidence Baxter is an element in a covert bioweapons network.
- Evidence Baxter has deliberately contaminated vaccine material.
- Evidence Novartis is using vaccines as bioweapons.
- Evidence as to WHO's role in the bioweapons program.



(picture zvg)

... nor from us

- Evidence as to WHO's manipulation of disease data in order to justify declaring a Pandemic Level 6 in order to seize control of the USA.
- Evidence as to the FDA's role in covering up the bioweapons program.
- Evidence as to Canada's National Microbiology Lab's role in the bioweapons program.
- Evidence of the involvement of scientists working for the UK's NIBSC, and the CDC in engineering the „swine flu“.
- Evidence vaccinations caused the Spanish killer flu of 1918 including belief of Dr Jerry Tennant that the widespread use of aspirin during the winter that followed the end of World War I could have been a key factor contributing to the earlier pandemic by suppressing the immune system and lowering body temperatures, allowing the flu virus to multiply. *Tamiflu* and *Relenza* also lower body temperatures, and therefore can also be expected to contribute to the spread of a pandemic.
- Evidence as to manipulation of the legal framework to allow mass murder with impunity.
- Evidence as to the existence of an international corporate crime syndicate.

Media is keeping Americans clueless about the threat they are under.

Jane Burgermeister is a dual Irish/Austrian who has written for *Nature*, the *British Medical Journal*, and *American Prospect*. She is the European Correspondent of the *Renewable Energy World* website. [After filing the lawsuit Jane Burgermeister was fixed. ed.] She has written extensively about climate change, biotechnology, and the ecology.

In addition to the charges currently under investigation that she filed against *Baxter AG* and *Avir Green Hills Biotechnology* in April, she has filed charges against WHO and Baxter among others concerning a case of exploding "swine flu" vials meant for a research lab on a busy IC train in Switzerland.

In her view, control of the media by the ruling elite has allowed the world crime syndicate to further its agenda unabated while the rest of the people remain in the dark about what is really going on. Her charges are an attempt to get around this media control and bring the truth to light.

Pigs are not the origin

"This is what we call a reassortment between two currently circulating pig flu viruses," said Andrew Rambaut, a University of Edinburgh viral geneticist. "Why it (the virus, ed.) has emerged in humans is anyone's guess. It hasn't been seen before in pigs as far as I know."

Source: www.wired.com/wired-science/2009/04/swineflu-update

Her greatest concern is that "in spite of the fact Baxter has been caught red handed nearly triggering pandemic, they are also moving ahead, together with allied pharma companies, with supplying the vaccine for pandemics." Baxter is hurrying to get this vaccine to market some time in July. •

"... Acquitting Pharmaceutical Companies of any Responsibility for the Pharmaceuticals Produced by them"**"Is Avian Flu another Pentagon hoax?"**

During the smallpox hysteria three years ago, the Bush administration prompted Republican Congressmen to adopt a paragraph for the draft law for the Homeland Security acquitting the pharmaceutical companies from any responsibility for the pharmaceuticals produced by them. The draft law would also grant them immunity, especially to those pharmaceutical companies producing vaccine against smallpox.

The Nuremberg protection of human rights with experiments is repealed

Now the Bush administration tries to extend this immunity for pharmaceutical companies by taking advantage of a climate of anxiety considering the avian flu. In his address to the National Institute of Health of 1 November, the President sug-

gested to completely acquit all producers of pharmaceuticals from the possibility to suit them by law for their products. For this project, he explicitly used the words "liability protection for the makers of lifesaving vaccines". If you clear this announcement from the harmlessly sounding sophisticated rhetoric, it does not mean anything else than: The producers of pharmaceuticals can produce and sell whatever they want to palm off on the people, be it Vistide, Tamiflu or Thalidomid without having to fear legal consequences.

Source: "Is the avian flu another Pentagon hoax?" in *Zeit-Fragen* of 7 November 2005

Translation Current Concerns

Current Concerns

The international journal for independent thought, ethical standards, moral responsibility, and for the promotion and respect of public international law,

Subscribe to Current Concerns – The journal of an independent cooperative

The cooperative Zeit-Fragen is a politically and financially independent organisation. All of its members work on a voluntary and honorary basis. The journal does not accept commercial advertisements of any kind and receives no financial support from business organisations. The journal Current Concerns is financed exclusively by its subscribers. We warmly recommend our model of free and independent press coverage to other journals.

Order form

Subscription details: published regularly
annual subscription rates

SFr 72.– (incl. postage and VAT)
£ 28.– (incl. postage and VAT)
€ 45.– (incl. postage and VAT)
US-\$ 66.– (incl. postage and VAT)

Subscription for (in block capitals please):

Name: _____

Address: _____

Cheque enclosed (payable to *Current Concerns*)

Credit card details: _____

Date: _____ Signature: _____

Please send a specimen issue to:

Name: _____

Address: _____

Send to: **Current Concerns, P.O. Box, CH-8044 Zurich, tel +41-(0)44-3506550 fax +41-(0)44-3506551**

human rights and humanitarian law

„If it is the ‘swine flu’... it is not dangerous”

Interview with Prof Bernard Debré, Member of the French Parliament



Bernard Debré, Medical Professor, MP (UMP) of Paris and member of the national ethics committee, is claiming the opposite of what the prime minister declared on Friday. While François Fillon was alarmed in face of the “unavoidable” pandemic of the A-H1N1 flu,

Bernard Debré thinks that the whole matter is exaggerated.

Le Journal du Dimanche: You are one of those who think that there is too much fuzz about the A-H1N1 flu. But can there be a “too much” if the purpose is to check a pandemic?

Bernard Debré: This flu is not dangerous. We have become aware that it is possibly even a little less dangerous than the seasonal flu. The drill has to be stopped now!

WHO in collaboration with the pharmaceutical industry

Klaus Stöhr, head of the influenza-vaccine-program of the World Health Organisation (WHO) and of the bird flu-campaign of the WHO 2005/2006 changed subsequently to the *Pharma-Group Novartis*, which right now would like to bring “Optaflu”, the new influenza-vaccine against the swine flu, on the market. Stöhr had already managed with the bird flu to sell a non-existing illness for humans so, that billions of taxpayers’ money were spent on medication. It then did not matter that the “bird flu” did not exist at all for humans and that therefore the stashed medicaments even were not tested clinically for this application with humans. Now *Novartis* aims for a purchase guarantee for its recent authorized “Optaflu”-vaccine.

Source: Wodarg-Blog from 4 August 2009

Aren't you exaggerating a little?

This type of flu has been expected and caused a lot of fear. We all remembered the phenomenon of the bird flu H5N1 which was very dangerous with a mortality rate of 60-65%, but not infectious because it could not cross the species barrier. We feared a new combination of genes which could have produced a very virulent and infectious virus. This lottery has taken place. However, it has produced a good number: H1N1. We were scared of a Jack of Spades, but we got a Queen of Hearts...

After the incidents in Mexico, states had to react...

In Mexico, the flu caused deaths after it had just broken out. As with all new illnesses against which the body has not yet developed a defense, mainly young people and

Criticism on the unprecedented vaccination campaign also comes from the Länder. “If the course of the swine flu stays as harmless as it has been so far, a mass vaccination program would not be justifiable”, says *Matthias Gruhl*, head of the Health Department in Bremen and responsible for the pandemic plan.

Source: Spiegel Online from 1 August 2009

less young, but somewhat handicapped, underfed und weakened people were affected... All measures were taken to develop a vaccine quickly, isolate the sick and follow the daily statistics ... This is a life-size drill for the medical army of a state which takes to the defense against an evil which one day might appear. But one day it will be time to stop the drill.

Why stop it now when the war is announced for the fall season?

All we do serves the only purpose of producing fear. Yes, this flu is spreading very fast. So what? One sick person infects two or three others while only one is infected with the classical flu. Still it is ‘Grippchen’ (dwarf flu), not an Ebola or a Marburg... Besides, I have noticed that the public offices, without declaring it publicly, have already started to switch back by one gear. The sick – it is no longer checked if they have H1N1 or just a simple cold – are now told to take Paracetamol.

You are accusing the states of a panicking reaction?

From the time when the WHO has commenced to become active, a little too fast, with daily press bulletins and repeated press conferences, governments had no real choice but to follow all this. I am reproaching them with having yielded later to the commercialization of the event in media and politics.

Is this also true for France?

Of course! There are 800 registered cases in France. This is a joke! Will we now start tracking diarrhea cases? We should have declared it openly from the beginning: We are part of a life-size drill, period. There is no need to drive the populations crazy unless you want to drum the following message into peoples’ heads: Folks, sleep without fear, we are watching over you.

You have vigorously contradicted Roselyne Bachelot during the debate over the Hospital Act. Is your criticism of her plan to fight the flu also an act of political retaliation?

I am not accusing *Roselyne Bachelot*. She is not more responsible than the MP of the Green Party in the National Assembly who demanded that the health minister accounts for the number of watertight suits and the quality of the masks...


So the error is a political one ...

No, because, I am repeating myself, the approach was justified in the beginning. Nevertheless, it is an economic error. I do not understand why the state has placed a binding order of 100 million doses of vaccine. It would have been possible to let the laboratories develop the products and then order vaccines according to the needs. We already know that the vaccines will not be produced before November 15. This means that they will not be finished before the first peak of infection is already over. What should be done with the hundreds of millions of stored masks? Should we force those infected with the seasonal flu to wear them? What seems even worse to me is the fact that hospital beds and ambulances were claimed for the drill, depriving other sick persons of time to recover.

Swine flu jab link to killer nerve disease: Leaked letter reveals concern of neurologists over 25 deaths in America

A warning that the new swine flu jab is linked to a deadly nerve disease has been sent by the Government to senior neurologists in a confidential letter. (...) It tells the neurologists that they must be alert for an increase in a brain disorder called *Guillain-Barre Syndrome* (GBS), which could be triggered by the vaccine. (...) The letter, sent to about 600 neurologists on July 29, is the first sign that there is concern at the highest levels that the vaccine itself could cause serious complications. (...) Concerns have already been raised that the new vaccine has not been sufficiently tested and that the effects, especially on children, are unknown.

Source: www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1206807/Swine-flu-jab-killer-nerve-disease-Leaked-letter-reveals-concern-neurologists-25-deaths-America.html



The current H1N1-flu seems to be relatively harmless in its effects – contrary to the 72 kilos of lethal mixture dispatched by Baxter, of which no data are available. (picture zvg)

“What we experience here, is a large scale experiment on the German population.”

Wolfgang Becker-Brüser, editor of the “medicine-telegram”, criticised the planned mass vaccination against the swine flu in Germany.

Source: Spiegel Online from 1 August 2009

The prime minister speaks of millions of infections. Isn't your pointed position a little bold?

At the moment, we have some 800 deaths worldwide with the infection rate currently reaching its peak in the Southern Hemisphere. These figures say a lot. Of course, this virus could mutate and become virulent. But the majority of virologists do not share this point of view at the moment... Let us assume that this worst case becomes reality. Can we safely assume that the vaccines ordered will be effective? No.

Has our society become over-cautious?

It is the apocalypse syndrome. Man was created by a virus and will die from a virus. It has always been like this. Before the virus, there was fear of microbes, pest and cholera.

Mankind has won this battle. So beware the revenge of the virus!

Source: *Le Journal du Dimanche*, 25 July 2009
Translation *Current Concerns*

\$8 billion for pharmaceutical companies

Nearly \$8 billion will be spent to address a “potential pandemic flu” which could result in mandatory vaccinations for no discernable reason other than to enrich the pharmaceutical companies that make the vaccine.

Source: www.campaignforliberty.com/article.php?view=119

Current Concerns is an independent journal produced by volunteers that is not supported by advertising.

Any financial contribution is greatly appreciated.

Current Concerns

The international journal for independent thought, ethical standards, moral responsibility, and for the promotion and respect of public international law, human rights and humanitarian law

Publisher: Zeit-Fragen Cooperative

Editor: Erika Vögeli

Address: Current Concerns,

P.O. Box, CH-8044 Zurich

Phone: +41 (0)44 350 65 50

Fax: +41 (0)44 350 65 51

E-Mail: CurrentConcerns@zeit-fragen.ch

Subscription details:

published regularly

annual subscription rates: SFr. 72. –

€ 45. – / £ 28. – / \$ 66. – (incl. postage and VAT)

Account: Postscheck-Konto: PC 87-644472-4

Printers: Druckerei Nüssli, Mellingen, Switzerland

The editors reserve the right to shorten letters to the editor. Letters to the editor do not necessarily reflect the views and opinions of *Current Concerns*.

© 2009. All rights reserved. No reproduction, copy or transmission of this publication may be made without written permission.

Preparations for mandatory vaccinations in the US

1946: US Public Health Service was established and EO 9708 (Executive Order) was signed, listing the communicable diseases where quarantines could be used. 1946 and 2003, cholera, diphtheria, TB, typhoid, smallpox, yellow fever, & viral hemorrhagic fevers were added.

April 4, 2003: EO 13295 added SARS to the list.

April 1, 2005: EO 13295 added "Influenza caused by novel or re-emergent influenza viruses that are causing, or have the potential to cause, a pandemic."

EO 13295 also:

The president gave the Secretary of HHS the power to quarantine, his or her discretion.

Secretary of HHS has the power to arrange for the "apprehension and examination of persons reasonably thought to be infected." A cough or a fever could put a person at risk for being quarantined for an extended period of time without recourse.

January 28, 2003: Project BioShield was introduced during Bush's State of the Union Address. This created permanent and indefinite funding authority to develop "medical countermeasures."

The NIH was given authority to speed approval of drugs and vaccines. Emergency approval of a "fast tracked" drug and vaccine can be given without the regular course of safety testing.

December 17, 2006: Division E: The Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness Act was added as an addendum to Defense Appropriations Bill HR 2863 at 11:20p on Saturday night, long after House Committee members had signed off on the bill and gone home for the holidays. Section (b)(1) states:

The Secretary of HHS can make a determination that a "disease, health condition or threat" constitutes a public health emergency. He or she may then recommend "the manufacture, testing, development, administration, or use of one or more covered countermeasures..." A covered countermeasure defined as a "pandemic product, vaccine or drug."

Division E also provides complete liability protection for all drugs, vaccines or biological products deemed a "covered countermeasure" and used for an outbreak of any kind.

Complete liability protection has been given to drug companies for any product used for any public health emergency declared by Sec of HHS.

Pharma is now protected from all accountability, unless "criminal intent to do harm" can be proven by the injured party. They are protected from liability even if they know the drug will be harmful.

Source: www.globalresearch.ca

Swine Flu

WHO Is Planning Human Experiments on a Large Scale

by Gerhard Wisnewski

Hardly anyone has it, hardly anyone suffers more than a few days from it and [nearly] nobody dies from it: so far there have been 429 deaths among a world population of 6.75 billion humans. Yet, the WHO has rated the so-called "swine flu" as "unstoppable" and declared on June 11, 2009 the highest pandemic warning level of 6. Why? There is only one explanation for this: "SAGE". Searching for this acronym under Google News of July 13, 2009, there is not a single news article in German language. But the WHO's *Strategic Advisory Group of Experts* (SAGE) on immunization has released a "recommendation for vaccination" which is hard to top with respect to unscrupulousness. The SAGE on immunization is the group that cooks up the "vaccination recommendations" of the WHO. In this group, WHO vaccine experts from all over the world harmoniously sit together with representatives of the pharmaceuticals industry and debate worldwide vaccination campaigns like the one against the swine flu phantom. Of course, "to avoid conflicts of interests", the industry representatives have only consulting status, but this is just window-dressing.

It is a fact that the WHO designs its vaccination recommendations in full view of the pharmaceuticals industry and nobody can figure out the unbelievable interconnections between the SAGE members and the pharmaceuticals groups represented in the meetings. For example, nobody can prevent SAGE members from owning, directly or indirect-

ly, shares of the manufacturers whose vaccines and flu medicines they want to force onto everybody – or into everybody's arms, to be precise.

Source: <http://info.kopp-verlag.de/news/Schweinegrippe-who-plant-menschenversuche-im-grossen-stil.html>

Risk for Pregnant Women?

"There is hardly an expert who knows if the mass vaccine against H1N1 currently being planned and tested is safe for pregnant women."

Source: *Spiegel online* from 8 August 2009

Is this the order of victims?

According to WHO's SAGE (*Strategic Advisory Group of Experts*), the vaccinations should take place in the following order:

- Medical personnel
- Pregnant women
- Children over six months with chronic diseases
- Healthy persons between 15 and 49
- Healthy children
- Healthy adults between 50 and 64
- Healthy adults over 64

Source: G. Wisnewski

Legal Immunity for Pharmaceutical Industry

The US Secretary of Health and Human Services, *Kathleen Sebelius*, has just signed a decree granting vaccine makers total legal immunity from any lawsuits that result from any new "Swine Flu" vaccine. Moreover, the \$7 billion US Government fast-track program to rush vaccines onto the market is being done without even normal safety testing.

The mass vaccinations are to be carried out predominantly for pregnant women and children. So far, neither the WHO nor the CDC or any other scientific body has demonstrated required scientific proof for the existence of the alleged H1N1 Influenza A new virus. The decree by the Department of Health and Human Services grants immunity to those making a "swine flu" vaccine, under the provisions of a 2006 law for public health emergencies. Background: The last time the US Government faced a new "swine flu" virus was in 1976. There was a national vaccination campaign in which thousands later filed claims contending they suffered side effects from the shots. Federal officials vaccinated 40 million Americans during a national campaign. A pandemic never materialized, but thousands who got the shots filed injury claims, as they contracted a paralyzing condition called Guillain-Barre Syndrome or other side effects. At least 25 people died after receiving the vaccine and 500 developed Guillain-Barre syndrome, an inflammation of the nervous system which can cause paralysis and be fatal.

In the end, the 1976 Swine Flu vaccine proved far worse than the disease. This time, the government has taken steps to prevent

any possible legal remedy should thousands of US citizens suffer severe complications as a result of being given untested vaccines.

The WHO in Geneva, on recommendation of the WHO's Strategic Advisory Group on Immunizations (SAGE), declared the "Swine Flu" Pandemic, so that automatic emergency health response programs could be activated all over the world. But who is WHO's SAGE group?

Its Chairman since 2005 has been the UK Director of Immunization at the British Department of Health, *Dr David Salisbury*. In the 1980's Salisbury reportedly drew major fire for backing a massive vaccination of children with a multiple MMR vaccine manufactured by the predecessor company of GlaxoSmithKline. That vaccine was pulled off the market in Japan after significant numbers of children developed adverse reactions to the vaccine and the Japanese government was forced to pay significant compensation to the victims. In Sweden, the vaccine was removed from the market. Apparently, that had little impact on WHO-SAGE chairman Salisbury. In Canada, all MMR vaccines containing the

Urabe strain of viruses were also withdrawn. This was before these vaccines were licensed by the Department of Health for use in the UK. According to one independent UK investigator, *Alan Golding*, "Smith-Kline-French, the pharmaceutical company who became Smith-Kline-Beecham and were involved in UK manufacture at that time, were concerned about these safety issues and were reluctant to obtain a UK license for their Urabe-containing vaccines. As a result of their 'concern', that children could be severely harmed by one of their products, they requested that the UK government indemnify them against possible legal action that might be taken as a result of 'losses' associated with the vaccine, which by then was known to carry significant risk to health. The UK government, advised by Professor Salisbury agreed to this request."

Today the same Dr Salisbury is advocating global proliferation of untested H1N1 vaccines, also manufactured by the same firm, now called GlaxoSmithKline. The situation today is even worse. Now that the Obama Administration has signed a document of immu-

nity from legal prosecution, the FDA in the United States and UK health authorities have decided to let Big Pharma put vaccine products onto the market before any tests of the possible harmful side effects of the vaccines are even known.

Curiously enough, a full year before any reported case of the current alleged H1N1, the major pharmaceutical company, Baxter, filed for a patent for H1N1 vaccine (Baxter Vaccine Patent Application US 2009/0060950 A1). The application states, "Suitable adjuvants can be selected from mineral gels, aluminium hydroxide, surface active substances, lysolecithin, pluronic polyols, polyanions or oil emulsions such as water in oil or oil in water, or a combination thereof. Of course the selection of the adjuvant depends on the intended use. E.g. toxicity may depend on the destined subject organism and can vary from no toxicity to high toxicity." This means that there is no legal liability.

Source: *interinfo*, 368, August 2009
Translation Current Concerns

British Nurses refuse to have the swine flu vaccination

A third of nurses will refuse to have the swine flu jab

Up to a third of nurses will say no to the swine flu jab because of concerns over its safety, a poll has found.

NHS workers are first in line for the vaccine, but a survey of 1,500 nurses found many will reject it.

Last night a Government scientist condemned the results saying nurses who do not have the jab are putting patients at risk.

Nevertheless the poll, by *Nursing Times magazine*, will raise questions over the Government's planned mass vaccination programme.

Of 1,500 readers, 30 per cent would not say yes to the vaccine, while 33 per cent said maybe. Just 37 per cent said they would definitely have the jab.

Of those who said they would refuse the jab, 60 per cent said their main reason was concern about the safety of the vaccine.

A further 31 per cent said they did not consider the risks to their health from swine flu to be great enough, while 9 per cent thought they would not be able to take time off work to get immunised.

Some 91 per cent described themselves as frontline nurses.

One told the magazine: "I would not be willing to put myself at risk of unknown long-term effects to facilitate a short-term solution."

Another added: "I have yet to be convinced there is a genuine health risk and it's not just Government propaganda."

The jab, currently being fast tracked, will not be fully tested before it is administered.

There will be no tests at all carried out on children under three, even though babies and children at high risk will be among the first to get the vaccine.

There are also concerns the jab can cause Guillain Barre Syndrome, which can lead to paralysis and even death.

A mass swine flu vaccination in the U.S. in 1976 caused far more deaths than the disease it was designed to combat and the Health Protection Agency watchdog has asked doctors to be on the lookout for cases of GBS.

Last week Chief Medical Officer Sir Liam Donaldson announced the jab will be given to high-risk groups with asthma or diabetes, as well as health workers.

Some 14million will be covered by the first wave of the vaccination programme.

Source: *Daily Mail* from 18 August 2009

Immunity from lawsuits for pharmaceutical companies and federal officials

"Vaccine makers and federal officials will be immune from lawsuits that result from any new swine flu vaccine, under a document signed by Secretary of Health and Human Services *Kathleen Sebelius*, government health officials said Friday, 17 July."

Source: www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/n/a/2009/07/17/national/a161229D59.DTL

Documentation*

Evidence as to the role of Baxter as a covert dual purpose bioweapons developer and producer

92. *Baxter Pharmaceutical* (<http://www.baxter.com/>) has been chosen by the WHO to lead the efforts in finding a vaccine cure for the swine flu H1N1 virus.

93. This in spite of the fact that *Baxter AG*, headquartered in Vienna, and the Austrian subsidiary of the pharmaceutical company *Baxter International*, headquartered in Deerfield, IL, USA, sent vaccine material contaminated with deadly live H5N1 bird flu virus to 16 laboratories in four countries in winter 2009 before a technician caught the mistake.

94. According to Austrian Health Minister *Alois Stöger*, 72 kilograms of vaccine material was contaminated.

http://www.parlament.gv.at/PG/DE/XXIV/AB/AB_01457/fnameorig_158854.html

Parliamentary answers 1457/AB (XXIV. GP) May 20th, 2009,

Fragen 14 und 15:

Das für Forschungszwecke bestimmte Material – 72 kg waren als kontaminiert anzusehen – wurde in die Firma zurück geholt und kontrolliert vernichtet.“ (The material intended to be used for research – 72 kilos were considered to be contaminated – was returned to the company and destroyed under strict control; ed.)

95. It is still not clear how 72 kilograms of the world's deadliest bioweapon can be sent by accident from a high biosecurity facilities, not irradiated and under a false label.

96. However, we know from *Baxter* itself that it produced the 72 kilograms contaminated material using a wild type live bird flu virus obtained from the WHO reference center.

http://www.promedmail.org/pls/otn/f?p=2400:1001:53103::NO::F2400_P1001_BACK_PAGE,F2400_P1001_PUB_MAIL_ID:10001,76322

„A statement on behalf of Baxter

I would like to provide the following update to a posting on ProMED dated 25 Feb 2009 (Avian influenza, accidental distribution – Czech Rep. ex Austria: RFI).

The H5N1 strain was the A/Vietnam/1203/2004 strain, received from a WHO reference centre. All information concerning this incident has been provided to the involved national authorities and appropriate international bodies such as ECDC and WHO.

Christopher Bona

Director, *Global BioScience*

Communications

Corporate Communications

Baxter International Inc.

One Baxter Parkway

Deerfield, IL 60015

christopher_bona@baxter.com

97. Also, *Baxter* is the only flu vaccine manufacturer to work with wild type flu viruses, felt to be more dangerous than the altered and attenuated (weakened) viruses other manufacturers use.

http://health.canoe.ca/channel_health_news_details.asp?news_id=27436&news_channel_id=1020&channel_id=1020

98. The Austrian police have launched an investigation into the incident that almost triggered a global pandemic. The mixture of the deadly H5N1 virus with a mix of H3N2 seasonal flu viruses is classified as one of the most deadly bioweapons in the world with a mortality rate of 63 per cent.

99. So, with the *Baxter* incident in Austria, there is proof that *Baxter* not only created the disease producing microorganisms with help from WHO, but also distributed them in large quantities to trigger a pandemic, while positioning themselves to produce the vaccine allegedly to “protect” against the virus they created and released, but which, so it is alleged, is actually a disguised way of spreading the biological agent and creating a pandemic.

100. In criminal charges filed against *Baxter* on April 8th, 2009 at the Vienna City Prosecutor's office, Landesgerichtstr 11, 1080 Vienna, Austria by *Jane Burgermeister*, a resident of Vienna, Austria, it was alleged that *Baxter* unlawfully, wilfully and knowingly, in the period between December 2008 and February 2009, employed manipulative and deceptive devices and contrivances in violation of national and international laws on the manufacturing, possession, release and dissemination of biological weapons of mass destruction and on organised crime, to manufacture and distribute a biological agent that is classified as a bioweapon among the population in order to profit from the pandemic.

101. First, *Baxter* manufactured influenza material contaminated with a bird flu virus in its biomedical research laboratories in Orth on the Danube in December 2008.

102. *Baxter* uses BSL 3 (Biosafety Level 3) precautions in its laboratories, a system for the safe-handling of toxic substances, which makes an accidental contamination of ordinary flu material with the dangerous bird flu virus virtually impossible.

103. The 72 kilograms of contaminated vaccine material contained a mixture of a seasonal H3N2 human influenza virus and the deadly bird flu H5N1 virus. By adding a virus of the type H5N1 to an ordinary flu virus of the type H3N2, The H5N1 virus is restricted in its human-to-human transmissibility, especially because it is less airborne. However, when it is combined with seasonal flu viruses, which are airborne and easily spread, a new bioweapon is created.

104. Second, *Baxter* distributed via *Avir* this contaminated vaccines using false concealment and a false label to 16 laboratories in Austria and in other countries at the end of January/beginning of February, potentially infecting at least 36-37 laboratory staff, who had had to be treated preventively for bird flu and ordinary flu in hospital.

105. A total of 18 laboratory staff belonging to *Avir* had to undergo preventative treatment for the bird flu and ordinary flu at the *Otto Wagner Hospital* in Vienna on February, 9th, 2009, because of their exposure as part of their work to the highly pathogenic bird flu virus.

106. This indicates that, in the opinion of medical experts, there was a risk that the staff of *Avir* had contracted bird flu, and, unknowingly, acted as carriers of a pandemic virus into the population of a densely built up Vienna city district and in winter time.

107. The material was only discovered when staff working for *Bio-Test* (in Konarovice in the Czech Republic), tested the vaccination on ferrets, who then died.

108. *Bio-Test* was supposed to test anti-flu vaccination that should serve Europeans during the next flu season, and the labels on the material sent to them from *Baxter* via *Avir* gave no indication of the lethal contents.

109. The 13 *Bio-Test* staff were treated with *Tamiflu* and were placed in quarantine for fear they had been contaminated with the bird flu virus, which is on the list of the possible biological weapons and one of the most dangerous biological agents on the Earth with more than 60% death rate.

120. Subsequently the same problem of the *Baxter* vaccine contamination with H5N1 was found in the laboratories in Slovenia, Austria and Germany, who had received the material from *Baxter*.

121. First the company *Baxter* evoked the “trade secret” and refused to explain how exactly how a Level 3 biological warfare pathogen found its way into H3N2 material, regardless whether or not this experimental vaccine material was “intended” for eventual use in humans or not.

122. *Baxter* representatives have said that the material sent to the Czech republic, Austria, Slovenia and Germany was in fact a pure H5N1 sent by accident – maybe to mask the previous assumption, that it was in fact an ordinary flu vaccine, which was contaminated. It is still not clear whether it was in fact the pure H5N1 or contaminated vaccine.

123. The Austrian Health Minister *Alois Stöger* confirmed on May 20th 2009 that the 72 kilograms of contaminated vaccine material has been destroyed, but no information has been released as to the genetic sequences of the contaminated material or what Clade was *Baxter's* H5N1 vaccine from, whether from Clade 1? Clade 2? Clade 3? Other?

124. Therefore, it is not possible to know whether H5N1 resembles the strains circulating in waterfowl. Was the contaminated H5N1 strain genetically engineered? If so, by whom? Does the NS protein in *Baxter's* H5N1 material contain polymorphisms which suppress human interferon production? Was *Baxter's* H5N1 a full set of influenza genes? Or was it just the hemagglutinin and neuraminidase? Did *Baxter's* H5N1 contain a poly-basic cleavage site on the Hemagglutinin surface protein? Why were the samples of experimental vaccine material not irradiated?

125. Coinfection of H5N1 and H3N2 would not produce simple reassortment but a complex in

vivo recombination of many competing strains in the infected host.

126. Furthermore the complex coinfection of H5N1 and H3N2 in a human would produce natural selection pressure for maximum virulence.

127. The book “Evolutionary Dynamics” suggest that viral coinfection selects for both maximum virulence and infectivity.

128. How close the world came to a pandemic is underlined by the reaction of *Panasonic Japan*. On February 9th – on the very same day as 18 employees of *Avir* were given preventative treatment for the bird flu in the *Otto Wagner Hospital* in Vienna – *AFP* reported that *Panasonic Japan* intended to bring back to Japan the families of many of its staff working around the world because of the threat of a bird flu pandemic.

“Panasonic to fly home workers’ families over bird flu fears, Feb 9, 2009

TOKYO (AFP) – *Panasonic Corp.* has ordered Japanese employees in some foreign countries to send their families home to Japan in preparation for a possible bird flu pandemic, a spokesman said Tuesday. The firm decided to take the rare measure ‘well ahead of possible confusion at the outbreak of a global pandemic,’ he said.

129. The Times of India reported on March 6th, 2009, that a pandemic was nearly triggered as a result of *Baxter's* actions.”

<http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/Health/Science/Virus-mix-up-by-lab-could-have-resulted-inpandemic/article-show/4230882.cms>

“It's emerged that virulent H5N1 bird flu was sent out by accident from an Austrian lab last year and given to ferrets in the Czech Republic before anyone realised. As well as the risk of it escaping into the wild, the H5N1 got mixed with a human strain, which might have spawned a hybrid that could unleash a pandemic.

Last December, the Austrian branch of US vaccine company *Baxter* sent a batch of ordinary human H3N2 flu, altered so it couldn't replicate, to *Avir Green Hills Biotechnology*, also in Austria. In February, a lab in the Czech Republic working for *Avir* alerted *Baxter* that, unexpectedly, ferrets inoculated with the sample had died. It turned out the sample contained live H5N1, which *Baxter* uses to make vaccine. The two seem to have been mixed in error.

Markus Reinhard of *Baxter* says no one was infected because the H3N2 was handled at a high level of containment. But *Ab Osterhaus* of *Erasmus University* in the Netherlands says: ‘We need to go to great lengths to make sure this kind of thing doesn't happen.’

Accidental release of a mixture of live H5N1 and H3N2 viruses could have resulted in dire consequences.”

130. It needs to be stressed that the bird flu virus was developed in US military laboratories from 1995 onwards by researchers who reconstructed the genetic code of the Spanish Flu pandemic virus of 1918-1919.

131. So, using the argument that they need to find an antidote to the lethal bird flu virus, researchers have actually resurrected this lethal bird flu virus and created the danger in the first place, and with funds provided by organisations such as WHO.

132. “Reviving the Spanish Flu virus is a recipe for a catastrophe. It could put any attack using anthrax or the plague in the shade,” said *Jan van Aken*, head of the German section of the *Sunshine Project*.

133. In the summer of 2008, US researchers found that this newly reconstructed lethal bird flu virus could be mixed with ordinary human flu virus in laboratory conditions and so, in theory, could acquire easy human-to-human transmissibility.

134. It was precisely this very virus, a mix of a lethal H5N1 bird flu virus and an ordinary human flu H3N2 virus that *Baxter* manufactured in its laboratory in Orth/Donau in December 2008, and then distributed via *Avir* to 16 laboratories in Austria and abroad employing fraudulent misrepresentation.

The Canadian Press explains the issue:

“While H5N1 doesn't easily infect people, H3N2 viruses do. If someone exposed to a mixture of the two had been simultaneously infected with both strains, he or she could have

served as an incubator for a hybrid virus able to transmit easily to and among people.”

135. According to media reports, Dr *Rebecca Carley* maintained in March 2009 that this was a deliberate attempt to start a pandemic.

“Basically, they're trying to cause the pandemic. They have already stockpiled at least 250 million doses of the bird flu vaccine. The shelf life of that vaccine has a certain amount of time by which they'll have to throw it in the garbage. So they have to start the pandemic so that they can give the vaccines, which will then cause the bird flu pandemic ... In fact, this is an associated press article that says that our government is reluctant to give bird flu vaccine to some of the rogue nations for fear they will use the vaccine as biological warfare. So when you actually look at what's out there, folks, it becomes crystal clear. This is genocide. This is population reduction. And it's happening right now.”

“Well, let me also state that this is very intentional because the H5N1 bird flu virus is not actually able to be picked up by humans in a regular scenario. So by putting it with a regular human flu, they're intentionally causing it to create a hybrid virus. And this is how they're going to make the bird flu virus be contracted by the people because it's very virulent. And basically, the scenario that it creates is very disturbing. You actually bleed out into your lungs and suffocate on your own blood.”

136. It was alleged in the criminal charges filed against *Baxter* in Austria that the “bird flu” incident was an attempt by international corporate criminal syndicate to release coinfecting H5N1 and H3N2 material upon the world population, provoke a pandemic using vaccination against the flu to spread the disease as happened with the anti-B hepatitis when vaccinations contained the HIV virus in US – and then cash in on the demand for vaccines against the bird flu which *Baxter* develops.

137. Moreover, it has been alleged that the specific production system which *Baxter* has developed with help of US government bodies for producing a human vaccination to the bird flu – namely, the use of 1,200 liter bioreactors and vero cell technology – could meet the technical criteria to be classified as a secret dual purpose large-scale bioweapon production facility in as far as the production process would allow a huge amount of contaminated vaccine material to be produced rapidly.

138. Vero cells are a continuous cell line derived from epithelial cells of the African green monkey kidney used to make live polio vaccines and also to promote the spread of AIDS.

Green monkeys are used in medical research.

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=1... concerns viruses in African green monkeys.

<http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=190510&rendertype=abst...> states that kidney cells of green monkeys can be used as hosts to cultivate influenza viruses.

<http://www.ippl.org/Jasmine.htm> ... states that monkeys can carry diseases that can make humans sick or, and human, can kill them. Monkeys can catch most human diseases.

http://www.sfb.org/pages/news_release_detail.php?id=47 ... concerns work by Jonathan Allan to determine the link between African green monkeys and AIDS. Over 50% of the monkeys carry SIV – the simian version of HIV – yet never develop the disease.

139. If contaminated material were added to the 1,200 liter bioreactors, it would replicate and infect the entire batch of vaccine material in the 1,200 liter tank. 140. Contaminated material could be distributed among sections of the population using false labels and secretly marked batches and so infect millions of people in a way as to delay the reaction or over two doses.

Such vaccine material would kill thousands if not hundreds of thousands of people under the cover of a prophylactic measure against a pandemic created by, and spread, by *Baxter*.

Imagine the potential for disaster if even one batch was infected and distributed to thousands, if not hundreds of thousands of people, who would not only become ill themselves but also act as incubators of a new more lethal virus.

continued on page 7

"Evidence as to the role ..."

continued from page 6

141. It has been alleged by experts such as Dr Bill Deagle, Dr A True Ott and Dr Carley that the injections are the delivery system of the bioweapons program in which Baxter is involved. Vaccinations are needed to upgrade the "swine flu" bridge virus to the more lethal "bird flu" virus if the international crime syndicate is to achieve its goal of a drastic reduction in the world population with a parallel consolidation of geopolitical power.

142. It has been alleged that the bioweapons programs are "international" in scope with funding coming from the US government, WHO, the UN and also banks.

The nature and intent of these programs is to drastically reduce the world's population, something that the financial and political elite believe will offer them the best chance of surviving in an environmentally stressed era while maintaining their revenue from oil and gas. A switch to solar, wind and geothermal energy, for example, would relieve pressure on the environment but destroy their profit base.

143. It has been alleged that Baxter is involved in any "Special Access Programs", as defined by Congress, including "waived", "unacknowledged" "waived" Special Access Programs (also known as "black programs"), on the basis of evidence such as Baxter's application for a patent for a bioengineered bird flu virus designed to be more lethal Application number: 10/547155, Publication number: US 2007/0134270.

144. It has been contended that the motivation for releasing this pandemic virus is one of classic robbery; if one arm of the international crime syndicate, after installing a covert bioweapon facility, releases a global pandemic virus, then the other financial arm of this same international crime syndicate can rob the assets of the victims around the globe as well as get greater control of the natural assets of any country, including water and agricultural land, natural assets that are increasingly valuable as global warming bites.

145. There are reasonable grounds for believing there are financial and social connections with the incoming administration as Baxter because ist executives are based near Chicago, the political base of President Obama, and Baxter has contributed to political parties.

146. It is clear that Baxter stands to benefit financially from the outbreak of a pandemic through a contaminated season influenza vaccine in late 2009, and that the shareholders will profit directly from this boost.

147. It has been reported that President Obama holds shares in Baxter.

148. Certainly, Baxter is guaranteed substantial direct profits from their triggering a bird flu pandemic from their contract sealed in 2006 with the Austrian Health Ministry, led by then Health Minister Maria Rauch-Kallat, to supply 16 million vaccine shots in the event of a bird flu pandemic being declared in Austria alone.

149. Baxter also has the contract to supply the swine flu vaccine for the Austrian government in spite of its role in releasing pandemic material this winter.

Baxter has contracts with WHO to supply huge quantities of vaccines.

150. However, upfront profits from sales of vaccines are just one part of the profit that the organised corporate crime syndicate, comprised also of banks, will obtain as mentioned.

If millions, if not billions, of people were to die as a result of a pandemic virus and/or contaminated inoculations, then their assets, their savings, their houses, apartments, farms and companies would be easy to acquire by a crime syndicate that has infiltrated and annexed key government offices.

* Extract from the "Criminal charges regarding flu pandemic as a biological weapon with intent to produce genocide" against President Barack Obama; David Nabarro, United Nations; Dr Margaret Chan, WHO; Kathleen Sibelius, Secretary of Health and Human Services; Janet Napolitano, Dep. of Homeland Security; Dr Margaret Hamburg, FDA; Robert Parkinson, CEO, Baxter Int.; Daniel Vassella, CEO, Novartis International AG; Chris Viehbacher, CEO, Sanofi Aventis; Andrew Witty, CEO, GSK PLC; Rahul Singhvi, CEO, Novavax; David de Rothschild; David Rockefeller; George W. Bush; George Soros; William Henry Gates III.

Source: www.wakenews.net/Microsift_Criminal_Charges_Swine_flu_edits_v2_1_.pdf

Self-Responsibility and Information

"No" to more state regulations: Why decree something to everybody when only single persons are concerned?

by Hans Ulrich Bigler, Director of the Swiss Trade Association sgv

To come to the point: We do need neither a new prevention law nor a prevention institute. It is the concern of the *Swiss Trade Association sgv* and the AWMP (Alliance for a Moderate Prevention Policy), organized therein, to announce our decided resistance against the message announced for autumn 2009 at an early stage. If the parliament decides to join in, the referendum would have to be launched against this unnecessary patronizing of the whole economy and population. Let me briefly justify our opposition.

Check a possible referendum

As the largest umbrella organization of Swiss economy, the *sgv* represents 280 federations and about 300,000 enterprises. In accordance with its strategy, its main topic in 2008 is the SME (Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises) politics, i.e. the establishment of optimal basic conditions for our SMEs. In plain English, this means that the *sgv* above all champions the dismantling of rules and regulations, the lowering of fees, social security contributions and taxes as well as the fight against consumer and advertising prohibitions.

To put it another way: We generally demand the reduction of legal standards and decrees and commit ourselves for an administrative relief of the SMEs. It is obvious: The suggested prevention law – including the planned prevention institute – are in sharp contrast to our strategy and are thus clearly rejected by the *sgv*. In view of today's high density of regulations we have to set particularly strict standards with new legal decrees; we have to raise our standards and, in case of doubt, we will have to refrain from making it a law.

No unnecessary inflation of national administration

The Federal Office of Public Health FOPH could not furnish the proof for the necessity of new legal regulations despite sprawling attempts to justify them. Moreover, the draft articles are too general, unclear or even contradictory in some parts. The possibility of misinterpreting many articles is simple, so that the door for national interventionism is wide open and we face the danger of an arbitrary application of the law. Not least, the SMEs would be the ones to suffer, as they would face new regulations and/or fiscal and administrative pressure in their enterprises. Moreover, this would mean a further inflation of the administrative body and of para-national organizations, and the radius of action of the activist FOPH would continue to expand. Furthermore, authority would unnecessarily be shifted from the cantons to the Federation; health prevention has to be tackled with differently in a small rural canton and in a major agglomeration.

Finally, I have a hard time accepting the activism and the "obsessive rule-making" of the FOPH and of all those "health freaks" in

this country. Last year, the FOPH launched three national programs within a few months, which all interfered into the free market economy and substantially restricted personal freedom: these regulations concerned the use of alcohol and tobacco as well as recommendations for diet and physical exercise. These three programs are intended to implement a new kind of prevention via the "administrative channel". This happens past the responsible political instances and far overshoots the mark. And the busy FOPH wants to delight us with a new prevention law and even with a Swiss institute for prevention and health promotion as the icing on the cake.

Legal bases are sufficient enough

The existing legal bases are sufficient for a meaningful, appropriate prevention policy. Swiss people are in good health on an international level. As the example of the USA (widespread obesity!) drastically shows, higher expenditures for prevention measures and more regulations do not necessarily lead to a better health of the population, but can even cause the opposite. No legal regulations are necessary for the protection of children and young people and the individual early diag-

nosis of diseases, which are both beyond debate. Rather purposeful, effective measures and no comprehensive actions are required, which strongly limit the freedom of the entire population and of the economy with additional, disproportionate regulations and prohibitions. We will primarily have to exhaust the existing possibilities for high-risk groups and make good use of existing laws.

Yes to prevention in self-responsibility

Against the backdrop of my comments, I conclude by saying that prevention and health promotion are to be taken serious. We should primarily rely on everybody's self-responsibility. Additional national interventionism and new comprehensive regulations, however, are to be clearly rejected. No new prevention law and even more no prevention institute are necessary. Both in prevention and in health promotion it is true that sometimes less is more.

For further information please contact Hans Ulrich Bigler, director *sgv*, telephone 0041 31 380 14 14, mobile 0041 79 285 47 09

Source: *Sgv* media conference of 12 August 2009

Translation *Current Concerns*

The Alliance of Businessmen for a Moderate Prevention Policy introduces itself

Rudolf Horber, Managing Director AWMP and Chief Economist *sgv*

"Each and every new regulation, each new law gradually takes away the freedom which is still left to us". This quotation is not – as you may assume – from a valiant right-wing politician, but from the well-known adventurer and extreme alpinist Evelyne Binsack. She is indeed right, and her quotation is very timely, because we are about to face new atrocities. Do you care for some recent examples?

- The Federal Office of Public Health (BAG) would like to stipulate the age of 18 years as minimum age for the use of solarium.
- The Federal Council wants people who take care of children to possess a respective license; it is red tape all the way – also in nurseries.
- The *Seco* (State Secretariat for Economic Affairs) demands that shops in petrol stations, which are open 24 hours a day, should only be allowed to sell coffee and snacks between 1 and 5 am.
- And on top of this, the Federal Council would like to gratify us with a new prevention law plus a new state institute – it is time to step on the brake – timely and decisively. [...]

Last year, because of the rampant activism of the BAG in the areas of alcohol, tobacco, diet and physical exercise, the Alliance of Businessmen for a Mod-

erate Prevention Policy (AWMP) has been founded under the lead management of the *sgv*. This widely supported committee, which consists of about 20 umbrella and line of trade associations, is cooperating closely with conservative parties as well as the *Interessengemeinschaft IG Freiheit (Community of Interest IG Freedom)*.

Our basic guiding principles are the following:

- The AWMP clearly opposes new intervention in the free market economy by establishing an even higher density of regulations and further constrictions of the entire population's civil rights and liberties. Instead, existing legal regulations have to be applied and implemented primarily.
- However, the AWMP does not oppose any kind of prevention. It supports a moderate prevention policy, which is based on the principles of *personal responsibility and education* and which, above all, appeals to clearly defined high-risk groups. Special emphasis has to be given to a strict legal protection for children and young people.

Source: Umbrella Organization of Swiss Small and Medium Sized Enterprises, *sgv*-media conference of 12 August 2009

Translation *Current Concerns*

Switzerland is Needed – As Mediator, not Military Power

mw. Because of the pressure from certain big powers, Switzerland is finding itself in an awkward situation, whereby much more is at stake than to protect the financial centre. At stake is the preservation of a state under the rule of law, the protection of our freedom as citizen and tax payer, and the protection of the sovereignty of state.

After the recent considerably strong attacks such as verbal injuries and contraventions against current international agreements by "befriended governments", European and across the Atlantic, there is a ray of hope in learning that Secretary of State Hillary Clinton does recall the importance of Switzerland as a neutral small state and its performance of Good Serv-

ices as well as its humanitarian commitment in a war-torn and crisis-ridden world. For decades, the US has been represented by Switzerland in Iran, where, amongst others, it did much for the release of Americans detained there. At a meeting in Washington D.C. on July 31, 2009 with Swiss Federal Council Ms. Calmy-Rey, US-Secretary of State did thank "Switzerland for taking the role of the United States in countries like Iran and, in particular, for its commitment as mediator in many important international matters".

For the fall season, I strongly urge our members of parliament in Bern to remember the importance and well-anticipated role of Switzerland regarding its policy of peace

and to restrain from participating in "Operation Atlanta", i.e. to send armed Swiss forces to the Horn of Africa under EU command. A revision of the armed forces law with the aim of opening the door even further for a participation in the different war deployments would torpedo the Swiss peacemaking mission.

"We know that Switzerland does not conduct power politics, that it does not take sides in our internal differences, nor that it interferes in internal affairs. Switzerland does not come to us in a military uniform, but as a friend, mediator, and Red Cross delegate", said a guest from the Democratic Republic of Congo recently.

Let us stay this way!

Zonas metropolitanas: una estrategia encaminada a la gobernación mundial

por Pierre Hillard, París*

Las ciudades y las colectividades locales son de fundamental importancia para la estrategia de los globalizadores. Bajo la apariencia de fortalecer las autonomías locales, éstos planean, a todos los niveles, medidas tendientes a la desintegración de los estados nacionales, con el fin de lograr una gobernación mundial. Una extensa red de conexiones permite la formación de nuevas estructuras a nivel local, las que se extienden a organismos políticos a nivel regional, después continental, para finalmente terminar a nivel global en una única «esclusa»: el gobierno mundial. Esa estructura tiene como fin eludir el poder político de los estados. Esa es la meta de la institución «Ciudades y gobiernos locales unidos» (CGLU).

La CGLU resultó de la unión de tres instituciones globales que se ocupaban de los problemas locales: *Unión internacional de las autoridades comunales* (IULA por sus siglas en inglés), *Asociación mundial de los estados unidos* (FCMU por sus siglas en inglés) y *Metrópolis*. La IULA se creó en 1913 y es la más antigua de las organizaciones globales; su función consiste en fortalecer a las instituciones locales y representar a las administraciones locales en el ámbito de la urbanización. FCMU, creada en 1957, reúne a más de 1400 ciudades en más de 80 países, con el fin de crear redes sobre temas específicos y programas de cooperación sobre temas como medio ambiente, administración urbana y también apoyar a acciones internacionales. *Metrópolis*, creada en 1985, reúne en el mundo a más de 100 ciudades de más de un millón de habitantes. Esa asociación se ocupa de problemas específicos de grandes zonas metropolitanas.

«Autoadministración» comunal atada a la cuerda de Bruselas

Si bien esas tres organizaciones perduran, en 2004 se unificaron en París creando la CGLU, con asiento en Barcelona. Esa organización mundial presidida por el alcalde de



París, *Bertrand Delanoë* tiene varias secciones. En el caso de Europa, tiene una formación piramidal que se apoya en la *carta europea de la autoadministración comunal* de 1981, elaborada por el reportero alemán *Galette*. Esa carta se inspiró en el sistema político alemán. Así, cada país europeo está provisto de un instituto propio para cuestiones locales, que cada vez más debe corresponder con las instancias supranacionales de Bruselas que con las autoridades nacionales. Podemos mencionar los ejemplos de Francia, *Asociación Francesa del Consejo de comunidades y regiones de Europa* (AFCCRE por sus siglas en francés) y de Suiza, *Asociación suiza para el Consejo de las comunidades y regiones de Europa* (SVRGRE por sus siglas en alemán).

Esas diversas organizaciones nacionales están reunidas en una instancia europea: el *Consejo de las comunas y regiones de Europa* (CCRE) fundado en 1951, el que en 2009

será presidido por el alcalde de Viena, *Michael Häupl*. En los años 1990, el presidente era *Valéry Giscard d'Estaing*, el padre de la constitución de la UE, la que fue rechazada por los votos de los ciudadanos franceses y holandeses, y por ese motivo reemplazada por el Tratado de Lisboa.

Bajo el dictado de la Central mundial

CCRE es sólo una sección de CGLU. Secciones similares a las europeas se encuentran en todos los continentes. De hecho, esa asociación mundial está compuesta de siete secciones regionales: CCRE (con asiento en Bruselas), África (sin asiento oficial), región asiática del Pacífico (con asiento en Jakarta), Eurasia (con asiento en Kazan), Latinoamérica (con asiento en Quito), Medio Oriente y Asia occidental (con asiento en Estambul) y Norteamérica (con asiento en Washington). Para que esas organizaciones operen en forma conjunta se elaboró una *Carta mun-*

dial de la autoadministración (www.rgre.de/schlagzeilen/resolutionen/-weltcharta/entwurf.htm). Inspirado en la Carta de la UE, ese documento fue redactado por *Heinrich Hoffschulte*, presidente de un grupo de trabajo dentro de la ONU, y su función es tener bajo tutela a todas las corporaciones comunales en el mundo. De hecho, la colaboración entre las instancias europeas y la ONU es evidente: *Heinrich Hoffschulte* había sido vicepresidente de CCRE en los años 90 bajo la presidencia de *Valéry Giscard d'Estaing*.

Hemos tratado de aclarar el «esqueleto» de la gobernación local, desde las bases hasta las más altas esferas. Una línea de conducta común debería regular esta enorme estructura que va en detrimento de los estados, ya que los hace innecesarios. Toda esa organización acompaña a las actuales turbulencias políticas y financieras con el fin de erigir un gobierno mundial con un sistema común de moneda, bancos, leyes etc. La novela de *Aldous Huxley* «Un mundo feliz», publicada en 1932, está en camino de convertirse en realidad.

* Pierre Hillard es profesor de relaciones internacionales en la Escuela de economía ESCE en París, y autor del libro «La Fondation Bertelsmann et la Gouvernance mondiale» (La fundación Bertelsmann y el gobierno mundial) publicado en 2009 en la Edition F. X. de Guibert.

(Traducción *Horizons et débats*)

¿Ha abandonado la SPD los fundamentos de la constitución alemana?

km. En una entrevista realizada en *Deutschlandfunk* el 21 de agosto con la representante del presidente de la fracción SPD en el parlamento alemán, *Angelica Schwall-Düren*, se llega a la conclusión de que la SPD va a proceder contrariamente a la constitución alemana; contra una verificación del Tribunal federal constitucional sobre la inconstitucionalidad de leyes de la UE, y en general contra un control de la constitucionalidad de las leyes de la UE en los estados miembros de la UE.

A la pregunta de la radioemisora si la fracción CDU-CSU aprobaría que se exija «al gobierno y al presidente poner en claro en la UE que el Tratado de Lisboa puede tener vigencia sólo bajo las condiciones dictadas por el Tribunal constitucional», la política respondió: «seguramente no. [...] Con nosotros no habrá ningún tipo de restricción legal, y tampoco vamos a aprobar que se realice un nuevo proceso de verificación en el Tribunal constitucional. [...] Figúrese si los jueces constitucionales de 27 países miembros tuvieran el poder de decidir sobre cada una de las leyes de la UE – si ellas son competencias de la UE o no. Entonces se deshacería la UE totalmente. Es justamente una invitación a otros estados para que consideren qué particularidades exigirían con respecto a los acuerdos europeos. [...] No puede ser que esos acuerdos deban ser ratificados por los parlamentos, que estén sujetos a una especial interpretación de los parlamentos nacionales.»

La política de la SPD ignora obviamente el artículo 20 párrafo 3 de la constitución que dice: «El dictado de las leyes está sujeto al orden constitucional [...]» Ella pasa por alto el derecho a la autodeterminación de los pueblos – y con ello, los derechos humanos fundamentales del artículo 1 del pacto internacional de los derechos civiles y políticos.

¿Y con qué propósito?

Evidentemente, la SPD alemana es partidaria de una UE antidemocrática. ¿Como modelo de un gobierno mundial dictatorial? •

Planes diabólicos de la UE

a Unión Europea ha decidido hacer posible la aplicación de la pena de muerte para insurrectos. ¿No lo cree? ¿Los medios no lo han informado? Entonces tome asiento y respire hondo.

En todos los países miembros de la UE ha sido abolida la pena de muerte. En caso de disturbios internos, lo peor que le espera a un cabecilla es la cárcel. Pero con el Tratado de Lisboa, en la UE se permitirá nuevamente la pena de muerte para los insurrectos. A raíz de la crisis económica, la UE cuenta con graves disturbios internos en muchos de los países miembros – por eso presiona para que el Tratado de Lisboa entre en vigor lo más rápido posible.

En junio de 2008, los irlandeses votaron en contra el Tratado de Lisboa y con ello bloquearon su puesta en vigencia. El tratado de Lisboa extenderá considerablemente el poder de los 27 comisarios de la UE, se creará el cargo de un presidente de la UE con amplios poderes, las leyes nacionales de los países miembros se convertirán en gran mayoría en reliquias, y en casos especiales, será posible la pena de muerte.

Con la puesta en vigor del tratado de reforma de la UE, ésta será permitida siempre que sea necesaria, para reprimir, de acuerdo con la Ley, una revuelta o insurrección. La pena de muerte se podrá imponer también por delitos en tiempos de guerra, o de peligro inminente de guerra. Todo esto fue publicado en letra chica en el *boletín oficial de la UE* en las explicaciones de la carta constitucional de la UE, que tendría valor legal con la puesta en vigor del Tratado de Lisboa. Ese párrafo parece que nadie lo ha leído. En el artículo 2 de la nueva carta constitucional figura:

«Queda abolida la pena de muerte. Nadie podrá ser condenado a tal pena ni ejecutado.»

Esto parecía claro – pero en letra chica lo que aparece son las excepciones.

En esas aclaraciones en letra chica sobre el Tratado de Lisboa aprobado por el Bundestag alemán figura que:

«(2) La muerte no se considerará infligida con infracción del presente artículo cuando se produzca como consecuencia de un recurso a la fuerza que sea absolutamente necesario:

- a) en defensa de una persona contra una agresión ilegítima;
- b) para detener a una persona conforme a derecho o para impedir la evasión de un preso o detenido legalmente;
- c) para reprimir, de acuerdo con la Ley, una revuelta o insurrección.»

Para todos aquellos que quieran saberlo exactamente: La cita es del *boletín oficial de la Unión Europea*, del 14 de diciembre de 2007. De esa manera, en letra chica, la abolición de la pena de muerte queda relativizada y anulada.

Según el párrafo citado c), en caso de que la pena de muerte sea necesaria para reprimir, de acuerdo con la Ley, una revuelta o insurrección, entonces dentro de la UE, ésta será posible en el futuro – a pesar de estar prohibida oficialmente. ¿Lo sabía? En abril de 2008, con los votos de la Unión, SPD, FDP y Verdes, el parlamento alemán aprobó, con dos tercios de mayoría, la transferencia de la soberanía alemana a favor de la UE, el Tratado de Lisboa, así como el restablecimiento de la pena de muerte en la UE para insurrectos incluido en él. En el debate, la canciller *Angela Merkel* (CDU) estimó el tratado de reforma de la UE como un gran proyecto.

Con la puesta en vigor del Tratado de Lisboa, el gobierno de la UE se convertirá en un poderoso gobierno central – como en otra época la Unión Soviética. Las repúbli-

cas en particular, se tornan insignificantes y deben renunciar a sus propios intereses para el bien del imperio. Los irlandeses, quienes contrariamente a los alemanes por lo menos fueron consultados en un referendo sobre la reforma de la UE, y sobre la transferencia de su soberanía que esta supone, en el referendo de junio de 2008, rechazaron el Tratado de Lisboa, también a causa del restablecimiento de la pena de muerte. En el otoño de 2009, en Irlanda se realizará una nueva votación.

Para que todo funcione de acuerdo a lo planeado, el 18 de marzo en Bruselas los 27 comisarios de la UE acordaron secretamente un golpe: antes de la votación en Irlanda, querían romper con varias leyes irlandesas. Así, a pesar de la prohibición de campañas propagandísticas en los medios estatales, la UE llevó a cabo una campaña política de propaganda en Irlanda por el voto a favor del Tratado de Lisboa. Ésta fue financiada con el dinero de los contribuyentes de los ciudadanos de la UE. Para que en la nueva elección en el otoño los irlandeses voten favorablemente, el 18 de marzo en Bruselas, los 27 estados acordaron la compra de votos. Así, los obispos que en las iglesias predican a favor de la transferencia de la soberanía irlandesa y del Tratado de Lisboa, recibirán dinero de los fondos de la UE. La dirección del parlamento europeo fue la iniciadora. Ésta dió a conocer incluso oficialmente, que quería ver corregido el „no“ irlandés lo más rápido posible, incluso presionando directamente a los obispos irlandeses. A muchos de los comisarios de la UE, esto les pareció demasiado descarado y acordaron una compra encubierta de votos. •

De: Udo Ulfkotte, Vorsicht Bürgerkrieg! (¡cuidado guerra civil!), p. 361-363

(Traducción *Horizons et débats*)